



Page Proof Instructions and Queries

Journal Title: PSC

Article Number: 554069

Sageproductor @ hurixesh

Click "Comment" in the upper right corner of Adobe Reader to access the mark-up tools as follows:

Greetings, and thank you for publishing with SAGE. We have prepared this page proof for your review. Please respond to each of the below queries by digitally marking this PDF using Adobe Reader.

For textual edits, please use the "Annotations" tools. Please refrain from using the two tools crossed out below, as data loss can occur when using these tools.

1. 北井工品图。

For formatting requests, questions, or other complicated changes, please insert a comment using "Drawing Markups."

日の今日常日 ▼ Drawing Markups

Adobe Reader can be downloaded (free) at: http://www.adobe.com/products/reader.html. Detailed annotation guidelines can be viewed at: http://www.sagepub.com/repository/binaries/pdfs/AnnotationGuidelines.pdf

No. Query Please sequen	se	Pl	en	gi	p	
Query Please confirm that all author information, including names, affiliations, sequence, and contact details, is correct.	sequence, and contact details, is correct.	Please review the entire document for typographical errors, mathematical	errors, and any other necessary corrections; check headings, tables, and	figures.	Please note that this proof represents your final opportunity to review your	article prior to publication so please do send all of your changes now

and public reasoning in the new South Africa Constraints to democracy

Reprints and permission: sagepub.co.uk/journalsPermissions.nav DOI: 10.1177/0191453714554069 Philosophy and Social Criticism © The Author(s) 2014 psc.sagepub.com

SSAGE

Sampie Terreblanche

University of Stellenbosch, South Africa

Abstract

democracy and about the level of justice attained over the past 18 years. Africa's new democracy as it was institutionalized in the mid-1990s. I am rather critical about our I use part IV of Sen's book on 'public reasoning and democracy' to make an evaluation of South

Keywords

apartheid, democracy, electorate, ethnic inequality, racial inequality

and justice I The close connection between democracy, public reasoning

a connection between the idea of justice and the practice of democracy'. He continues: book, he explains 'how central the role of public reasoning is for the understanding of justice? According to him, the recognition of this role of public reasoning 'takes us to way democracy and public reasoning can contribute to justice. In several chapters of his In chapter 15 of Amartya Sen's book, The Idea of Justice (2009: 324-6), he explores the

rather than in the broader perspective of government by discussion. And yet, in contempoby discussion has gained widespread support ... There is, of course, the older - and more rary political philosophy, the understanding of democracy has broadened vastly ... [T]he formal - view of democracy which characterizes it mainly in terms of elections and ballots, [I]n contemporary political philosophy the view that democracy is best seen as government

Corresponding author:

South Africa. Solomon Johannes (Sampie) Terreblanche, University of Stellenbosch, 8 Rowan Street, Stellenbosch, 7600,

Email: sjt@sun.ac.za

central issues in a broader understanding of democracy are political participation, dialogue

and public interaction. The crucial role of public reasoning in the practice of democracy makes the entire subject of democracy relate closely with the topic that is central to this [i.e. Sen's] work, namely justice. If the demands of justice can be assessed only with the help of public reasoning, and if public reasoning is constitutively related to the idea of democracy, then there is an intimate connection between justice and democracy, with

I am in total agreement with Sen's broader understanding of democracy and with his conclusion about an intimate connection between justice and democracy. His book makes an invaluable contribution to the theory and the practice of democracy.

shared discursive features.

South Africa became a constitutional democracy in 1994 after a century of white political dominance and racial capitalism. South Africa's new democracy and the way public reasoning operates are both constrained by typical South African circumstances. Consequently, neither South African democracy nor the manner of public reasoning is conducive to promoting justice to the necessary degree.

II The uniqueness of South Africa

After gold was discovered in 1886 on the Witwatersrand, the British government conducted a bloody war (1899–1902) against the two Boer republics in southern Africa to gain control of the two republics on behalf of the gold-mining companies. In 1910 the British parliament created the Union of South Africa and institutionalized a political system of white (or European) political dominance and an economic system that can be described as one of colonial and racial capitalism. This politico-economic system – also called the apartheid system – remained in place until 1994. At the Peace of Versailles (1919) South Africa was granted dominion status along with Canada, Australia and New Zealand. The Statute of Westminster (1931) established the 4 dominions as 'sovereign independent' states within the British Commonwealth.

The black population groups were not only excluded from ownership and entrepreneurial participation in the politico-economic system of South Africa, but they were also unjustly repressed and exploited. From 1910 until 1970 the proportion of whites (or Europeans) in the total population was less than 20%, but their share of total income was always more than 70%. During the same period Africans constituted almost 70% of the total population, but their share of total income was always less than 20%! From 1910 until 1980 the per capita income of Africans was always less than 10% of the per capita income of whites.

The African National Congress (ANC) and other liberation organizations fought a long struggle against the apartheid system in South Africa. These organizations regarded South Africa as an immoral state and as a 'colony of a special type'. The arguments of the liberation movements were supported by many countries in the Global South – especially those that had obtained their independence after the Second World War.

Apartheid South Africa succeeded in maintaining its independence and its racist character as long as it received economic and military support from western countries – especially from Britain and the United States. When these western countries withdrew their

support for apartheid South Africa at the end of the 1980s, the whites had no choice but to enter into negotiations with the liberation organizations about a new politico-economic system for South Africa. These negotiations started in 1990 and ushered in an agreement on a constitutional democracy with universal franchise rights. The first democratic elections took place in 1994 and Nelson Mandela was inaugurated as the first black president of the new South Africa.

III The weaknesses of South Africa's new democracy

During the early 1990s two sets of negotiations were taking place in South Africa. Negotiations on South Africa's new political system took place in public between all the white and black political parties. At the same time secret negotiations on South Africa's future economic system and economic policies were being conducted between a leadership core of the ANC, the white corporate sector and representatives of the American and British governments. These secret negotiations were orchestrated by the Mining Energy Complex (MEC) that had played a dominant role in South African economic and political history since the discovery of gold in 1886.

The secret negotiations reached a climax in November 1993. At that stage South Africa was being governed by the Transitional Executive Council (TEC), which was comprised of 8 members of the National Party government and 8 members of the ANC leadership core. The TEC decided that South Africa needed a loan of \$850 million from the IMF to help tide the country over its balance of payments difficulties. Before the IMF granted the loan to South Africa, it requested the TEC to sign a document about the economic policy of the future government. This document committed the TEC to the American ideologies of neo-liberalism and market fundamentalism. The agreement that was reached on economic policy in November 1993 is regarded as the Elite Compromise on which the new South African dispensation has been based. The Elite Compromise put local and transnational corporations in a powerful position vis-à-vis the still-to-be-elected ANC government. It also made the conditions of the Washington Consensus applicable to South Africa.²

When South Africa became a democratic country in May 1994, the sovereignty of the new government was already 'restricted' by the terms on which agreement was reached. The restrictions that were imposed on South Africa put it in the same position as most of the countries in the Global South.

Most of the Global South countries do not have the economic, financial and bureaucratic ability to participate in the global economy with the same degree of sovereignty as western countries and some of the larger developing countries. These southern countries are often deprived of the sovereignty to decide independently on a comprehensive redistribution policy on behalf of the impoverished majority of their inhabitants.

The implication of the Elite Compromise of 1993 was that the ANC was empowered to implement policies on behalf of a black elite formation, but deprived of the ability to alleviate the abject poverty of the poorer half of the black population, once the conditions of the Washington Consensus were made applicable in South Africa.

We can also identify other weaknesses of South Africa's new constitutional democracy. These weaknesses turned out to be also weaknesses of the ANC government. South

anny of the majority'. This is a very unfortunate state of affairs. democratic system indeed faces the danger of what Alexis de Tocqueville called the 'tyrand ethnic composition of the electorate as there are in South Africa. South Africa's operate in a genuinely democratic way when there are such great inequalities in the racial and vitality of South Africa's democracy. Many people doubt whether a democracy can (say) the next two or three elections, this would certainly not be conducive to the health 60% of the total votes cast. If it were to happen that the ANC succeeds in winning also population group. In each of the four elections since 1994 the ANC attained more than The ANC - with its explicit Africanist agenda - acts as the torchbearer of the African

Africa, it is questionable whether our democratic system could überhaupt be viable and lation and a relatively equal distribution of income. Given the huge inequalities in South countries - such as the Scandinavian countries - with an ethnically homogenous poputhe viability of our democratic system. A democratic system normally operates best in inequalities are indeed so extensive that they introduce almost unbearable tensions into unequal distribution of income between the 50 million inhabitants of the country. These Washington Consensus was made applicable to it) too powerless to address the very Another weakness of South Africa's democratic system is that it is (once the

receivers in 2008, while only 11% of Africans (or 4.4 million individuals), 25% of colis that 80% of the whites (or 3.7 million individuals) were among the top 20% of income top 20% (or 10 million individuals) received 74.7% of total income, while the poorest were among the top 20% (First et al., 2009). oureds (or 1.1 million individuals), and almost 60% of Asians (or 740,000 individuals) 50% (or 25 million individuals) received only 7.8%. What complicates matters further As far as the distribution of income of the total population is concerned, in 2008 the

ulation, while 5% coloureds (or 1.3 million individuals) were among the poorest 50% of the 'democratic' period (Leibbrandt and Woolard, 2010). 2008 is an indication that income has become much more unequally distributed during the population. The fact that the Gini coefficient increased from 0.66 in 1992 to 0.70 in 95% of Africans (or 23.7 million individuals) were among the poorest 50% of the pop-The really problematic aspect of South Africa's unequal distribution of income is that

such as water, sanitation, electricity, health care and education. While up to 50% of the 'location' in which children are born - especially with respect to access to services which it has started applying for the first time. The factor that matters most in the HOI is Inequality of Opportunity'. The bank has developed a Human Opportunity Index (HOI). In July 2012 the World Bank published a document on South Africa entitled 'Focus of

Terreblanche

South Africans are trapped in a vicious and self-perpetuating cycle of inequality, the their HOI is shockingly low. 'location' in which more or less 50% of all children are born in South Africa is such that

whose spending powers are restricted by the conditions of the Elite Compromise. made dependent on the judgement of technocratically oriented ministers of finance unfortunate that the realization of these important social and economic rights has been realization of all these social and economic rights is concerned, the constitution stipuhealth-care services, sufficient food and water, and social security, etc. But as far as the lates that this can be done only 'within [the government's] available resources'. It is 'welfare rights' - such as the right of access to adequate housing, the right of access to The constitution (act 108 of 1996) mentions several social and economic rights - or

ocratic election should not be determined by people who are too poor to cast a considered tion with the necessary reflection on the multiple issues at stake is another matter. Of the of the struggle of impoverished people for survival. But to use their vote during an eleccan participate actively in service delivery protests. To participate in these protests is part tribution toward addressing their plight (see Nef and Reiter, 2009: 110). Yet poor people which one of the opposing parties in a general election can make the most valuable concated' that they never have the opportunity (or the luxury) to make a choice between appreciate that many impoverished people in South Africa are so poor and so 'unedulikely that they would be inclined to continue voting for the ANC. The results of a dem-The fact that many do not have any experience in choosing between alternatives makes it poorest 25 million impoverished people in South Africa, almost 24 million are Africans By being too poor to gain experience in making choices, they do not know how to decide 'valuable goods'. They often have only one choice in life and that is how to stay alive. sidered choice between the different political parties during a general election. We must developed' to such a degree that many are not capable of making a reflective and condeveloping country, of which a large part of the population is impoverished and 'under-South Africa's democratic system is also weakened by the fact that South Africa is a

be taken from them if they voted against it. If these allegations are true, then the situation election campaigns, it threatens recipients of the social grants that these grants would is highly deplorable. recipients to vote against the ANC. There are allegations against the ANC that, during that the grants are paid to them by the ANC government constrains the freedom of the laudable.3 Without these grants their poverty would have been unbearable. But the fact The fact that about 14 million people receive grants from the government is most

IV Deficiencies in the process of public reasoning in South Africa

contribution the process of public reasoning is making toward promoting democracy and to determine what the nature of public discussion is in the new South Africa and what According to him, democracy is best seen as 'government by discussion'. It is not easy Amartya Sen emphasizes the central role of public reasoning in promoting justice

A characteristic that hampered the process of public reasoning in apartheid South Africa (1894–1994) was the division of the population into different racial, ethnic and language groups – whites, coloureds, Asians and Africans. The different groups were compelled by the apartheid regime to live in different 'group areas', while the spatial mobility of black people was restricted by influx control and 'pass' laws. Africans were traditionally distributed to the

traditionally divided into 9 different ethnic groups, each with its own culture and language. The apartheid regime tried to 'restore' the original ethnic identities of the different African ethnic groups by giving separate schools and separate 'homelands' to each one. A separate education system was created for the different racial, ethnic and language groups. During the final decades of the apartheid regime there were 14 education departments for 14 different groups that the regime wanted to be kept separate from each other. All these barriers between population groups very much hampered the process of public reasoning during the apartheid period.

During the struggle against apartheid, the attempts of the apartheid regime to keep the different population groups separate from each other were foiled when the United Democratic Front (UDF) was launched in 1983 as one of the most important anti-apartheid organizations. The UDF was a non-racial (but mainly black) coalition of more than 800 civil, church, student, workers and other organizations (national, regional and local).

ocratic Front (UDF) was launched in 1983 as one of the most important anti-apartheid organizations. The UDF was a non-racial (but mainly black) coalition of more than 800 civil, church, student, workers and other organizations (national, regional and local). It became the most important internal civil society organization in the struggle against apartheid. Together with the Congress of South African Trade Unions (COSATU), the UDF formed the Mass Democratic Movement (MDM) against apartheid. In spite of the fact that the MDM and other civil society organizations played a strategic role in the defeat of apartheid, the ANC abolished the UDF and other civil society organizations in the early 1990s when it was preparing to take over political power. To consolidate its political power, the ANC continues to discourage the formation of civil society organizations. A salient feature of South Africa in the post-apartheid period is

As the ANC government remains a captive of corporate dominance and globalism, and as the larger non-government organizations (NGOs) become increasingly involved in service delivery, promising signs are fortunately noticeable of new civil society groups emerging from the ranks of community-based organizations (CBOs). These organizations are responding to the basic needs and the justifiable grievances of the impoverished majority. Unfortunately, they are not numerous enough and also not strong and aggressive enough to restore order, discipline and accountability to government. The process of public reasoning in the new South Africa is, therefore, not as lively or rigorous as it ought to be. Fortunately, there are at least two important exceptions to this situation, i.e. first, the active role the media are playing and, second, the role that the Treatment Action Campaign (TAC) played from 1998 to convince the government to supply the necessary medicine to HIV/AIDS patients.

accountable to the necessary degree.

that there are not enough watchdog organizations in the private and the public sectors to hold the government – and other institutions in position of power and privilege –

The media have played an indispensable role since 1994 in uncovering the ANC government's maladministration, its money-squandering and its scandals and corruption. Unfortunately, the government has brought before Parliament the Protection of Information Bill (or the 'Security Bill') that may deprive the media of the critical role they are

Terreblanche

playing at present. If the bill became law, journalists could be jailed if they were not prepared to reveal the sources of their reports. Fortunately, the media and several civil societies are fighting the enactment of the bill vehemently.

In 1982 the first case of AIDS in South Africa was reported. On Human Rights Day, 10 December 1998, the Treatment Action Campaign (TAC) was launched by HIV-positive activist Zackie Achmat and 10 others. The TAC developed into an extraordinarily important organization to mobilize people to campaign for the right to health using a combination of human rights education, HIV treatment, literacy campaigns, demonstrations and litigation. As a result of these campaigns, the TAC was not only able to reduce the price of medicines and prevent hundreds of thousands of HIV-related deaths, but also to force significant additional resources into the health system and toward the poor (Heywood, 2009: 14).

The ambitious HIV/AIDS programme outlined at the start of the Mandela presidency had by the end of his presidency fallen extremely far short of expectations. President Thabo Mbeki was elected president in 1999. He openly held the position that HIV did not cause AIDS. The TAC organized a mass movement early in 2003 to object to the government's general failure to execute a proper response to the AIDS pandemic. As a result of the increased pressure from the TAC and other civil organizations the South African cabinet approved a plan for the universal provision of anti-retrovirals (ARVs) in August 2003. This plan was implemented only in 2005. At that stage more than 5 million South Africans were HIV positive, making South Africa the country with the highest HIV rates in the world. From 2006 several new HIV plans were initiated and launched, but they remained inadequate. It was only in 2009 that the cabinet publicized a commitment to test all children exposed to HIV and to provide all HIV-positive children with ARV treatment.

By the end of 2010 only 55% of people who needed ARV treatment were receiving it, falling significantly short of the government's goal of 80% coverage. On 1 December 2011 a third National Strategic Plan (NSP) on HIV and TB was released for 2012–16. This plan has resulted in an increase in overall budget allocation for ARV treatment to ensure that its second target of 80% coverage will be reached by 2016. While a diagnosis of being HIV positive used to be understood by many as a death sentence, AIDS is increasingly seen as a treatable and manageable condition.⁴

It is not possible to determine how many people have died of HIV/AIDS and how many lives could have been saved if adequate treatment had been available to them at an earlier date. The illness destroys the immune system of those who are affected, and they eventually die of other illnesses. It is estimated that nearly I million people have died of the pandemic in South Africa, because medicine was not available to them. The life-expectancy of South Africans was about 60 years in 1990. As a consequence of the pandemic it has declined to less than 50 years. The pandemic can be compared with a famine.

Because of the constraints on democracy in South Africa, the highly unequal distribution of income has not been addressed adequately since 1994, and because of the unsatisfactory way that public reasoning operates in the new South Africa, the HIV/AIDS problem was not addressed with the necessary rigor from 1994 until 2009.

Sen is quite adamant 'that no major famine has ever occurred in a *functioning democ*racy with regular election, opposition parties, basic freedom of speech and a relatively

Philosophy and Social Criticism

also dysfunctioning, given the circumstances in which independence was granted to them also the case in many erstwhile colonies of western empires in the Global South whose tice are at unsatisfactory levels in many countries in the Global South (see Sen, 2009: chs by the Washington Consensus. Consequently, both democracy and the level of social jusby the western empires and given the conditionalities that were made applicable on them and its public reasoning as dysfunctioning or even non-functioning. This is apparently 16 and 17 and Stiglitz, 2002: ch. 3). Jane Burbank and Frederick Cooper put it as follows: 'sovereignty' is seriously 'restricted' and whose democracy and public reasoning are free media' (2009: 342). Perhaps we have reason to describe South Africa's democracy

broken paths out of empire, with the fiction of sovereign equivalence, and with the reality of that they thought they could entrench. We live with the consequences of these uneven and sovercignty that was becoming costly and the new founding fathers took over sovereignties inequality within and among states. (2010: 458) The end of colonial empires was conflicted and contingent. European empires gave up a

Notes

- 1. Ben Fine and Zavareh Rustomjee describe the MEC as 'a system of accumulation'. They claim
- ate power over the economy, reinforced through simultaneous control of the financial secindustries, control extends to other sectors also. In the South African context, conglomertor, is shown potentially to extend to all activities and sub-sectors within the mining manufacturing and financial activities. This is possibly unique to South Africa. (1996: 91) ... while conglomerate ownership [in mining and energy] dominates the MEC core
- 2. Joseph Stiglitz (2002: ch. 3) describes the Washington Consensus as an ideology: 'Fiscal ausadvice throughout the 1980s and 1990s' (ibid.: 53). terity, privatization and market liberalization were the three pillars of Washington Consensus
- 3. About 3 million people receive an old-age pension of about \$150 a month and 11 million children younger than 14 years get a child grant of about \$35 a month.
- 4. A History of Official Government HIV/AIDS Policy in South Africa is accessible @: http:// www.sahistory.org/za/print/topic/history-official-government-hivaids-policy-south-africa

- Ashman, S., Fine, B. S. and Newman, S., eds (2011) 'The Crisis in South Africa: Neo-Liberalism, Financialization and Uneven and Combined Development', Socialist Register 47: 174-95.
- Burbank, J. and Cooper, F. (2010) Empires in World History. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University
- Complex to Industrialization. Johannesburg: Witwatersrand University Press.

 Figh. A., Leibbrant, M. and Woolard, I. (2009) Income and Expenditure Inequality, National Income Dynamic Study. Cape Town: University of Cape Town.

Terreblanche 9

Nef, J. and Reiter, J. (2009) The Democratic Challenge: Rethinking Democracy and Democratiza-Heywood, M. (2009) 'South Africa's Treatment Campaign, Combining Law and Social Mobiliza-Leibbrandt, M. and Woolard, I. (2010) Trends in Inequality and Poverty over the Post-Apartheid tion. London: Palgrave Macmillan. Era: What Kind of Society Is Emerging. Cape Town: SALDRU, University of Cape Town. tion to Realism, the Right to Health', Journal of Human Rights Practice 1(1): 14-36.

Stiglitz, J. (2002) Globalization and Its Discontents. London: Allen Lane Sen, A. (2009) The Idea of Justice. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press